

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,504	ANANTHAN, SUBRAMANIAM
	Examiner Patricia L. Morris	Art Unit 1625

**All Participants:**

(1) Patricia L. Morris.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Amernick.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In view of applicants deleting the compounds of formula (I), the proviso clause appears to be no longer needed in claim 1. Counsel gave authority to cancel the proviso clause in claim 1 and the nonelected claims 2-6 and 12-16.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)